

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

THERESA M. OWENS  
Plaintiff,

vs.

Civil Action No. 03-1798  
(EGS)

ELI LILLY AND COMPANY  
Defendant.

/

**ORDER FOR INITIAL SCHEDULING CONFERENCE**

The above captioned case has been assigned to this Judge for resolution. The Initial Scheduling Conference is set for OCTOBER 1, 2003 AT 10:00 A.M. Counsel who attend must be sufficiently familiar with the case to answer any questions which arise; parties are welcome and are encouraged to attend.

Pursuant to LCvR 16.3 of the Local Rules, as amended effective December 1, 2000, and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), as amended effective December 1, 2000, counsel shall confer by no later than SEPTEMBER 17, 2003 and submit their Report addressing all topics listed in LCvR 16.3(c) by no later than SEPTEMBER 24, 2003. Counsel are directed to include in their Report a brief statement of the case and the statutory basis for all causes of action and defenses.

Counsel are required to comply with LCvR 16.3 and, in particular, LCvR.16.3(c), as amended December 1, 2000, and attached

hereto as Appendix I. In considering what form of alternative dispute resolution the parties think the case most suited to, counsel are reminded that among their options are mediation, arbitration, early neutral evaluation, summary jury trial, or any other form of alternative dispute resolution which can be tailored to the needs of their case.

Extensions or enlargements of time will only be granted upon motion, and not upon stipulation by the parties. Motions for a continuance or other scheduling change must be filed three business days prior to the hearing and must include alternative dates that have been agreed to by all parties. Requests that do not include an alternative date acceptable to all parties will be denied.

Parties are to communicate with the Court by motion, opposition, and reply, not by letter. Inquiries concerning the status of any pending matter shall be directed to the Courtroom Deputy Clerk, Ms. Carol Votteler (202/354-3152), or if she is unavailable to the staff person in the Clerk's Office designated as her substitute, and not to chambers. Chambers personnel will not

handle questions relating to the status or scheduling of pending matters.

In an emergency, however, chambers can be reached at 202/354-3260.

Date: AUGUST 30, 2003

SIGNED BY:

:

EMMET G. SULLIVAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Notice to:

Aaron Levine, Esquire

Lawrence Martin, Esquire

**APPENDIX I**

**RULE 16.3**  
**DUTY TO CONFER**

**(c) MATTERS TO BE DISCUSSED BY THE PARTIES.**

At the conference required by this Rule, the parties shall discuss the following matters:

\* \* \*

(5) Whether the case could benefit from the Court's alternative dispute resolution (ADR) procedures (or some other form of ADR); what related steps should be taken to facilitate such ADR; and whether counsel have discussed ADR and their response to this provision with their clients. In assessing the above, counsel shall consider:

- (i) the client's goals in bringing or defending the litigation;
- (ii) where settlement talks have already occurred and, if so, why they did not produce an agreement;
- (iii) the point during the litigation when ADR would be most appropriate, with special consideration given to:
  - (aa) whether ADR should take place after the informal exchange or production through discovery or specific items of information; and
  - (bb) whether ADR should take place before or after the judicial resolution of key legal issues;

- (iv) whether the parties would benefit from a neutral evaluation of their case, which could include suggestions regarding the focus of discovery, the legal merits of the claim, and assessment of damages and/or the potential settlement value of the case; and
- (V) whether cost savings or any other practical advantage would flow from a stay of discovery or of other pre-trial proceedings while ADR process is pending.

